UHS 10kA Arrester

Certified Test Report

IEC 60099-4 2004

CERTIFICATION

Statements made and data shown are, to the best of our knowledge and belief, correct and within the usual limits of commercial testing practice.

ama s

Michael M Ramarge Chief Engineer

Jonathan J Woodworth

Jonathan J Woodworth Arrester Engineering Manager

INTRODUCTION

This test report certifies that the UltraSIL VariSTAR arresters UHS Class 1 – 10kA were successfully tested to IEC 60099-4:2004 "Metal-Oxide surge arresters without gaps for a.c. systems".

TEST PROGRAM

<u>OBJECT</u> To demonstrate that the UltraSIL VariSTAR Arresters Class 1–10kA meet all performance requirements.

PROCEDURE

The following design tests were performed on a sufficient number of samples to demonstrate all performance requirements are met.

TYPE TESTS

| Insı | alation Withstand Test | |
|------|---|--|
| a) | Lightning Impulse Voltage Test | Per IEC 60099-4 Section 8.2.6 |
| b) | Power Frequency Voltage Test | Per IEC 60099-4 Section 8.2.8 |
| Res | idual Voltage Test | |
| a) | Steep Current Impulse Residual Voltage Test | Per IEC 60099-4 Section 8.3.1 |
| b) | Lightning Impulse Residual Voltage Test | Per IEC 60099-4 Section 8.3.2 |
| c) | Switching Impulse Residual Voltage Test | Per IEC 60099-4 Section 8.3.3 |
| Lon | g Duration Current Impulse | |
| | Withstand Test | Per IEC 60099-4 Section 8.4.2 |
| Hig | h Current Impulse Operating Duty Test | Per IEC 60099-4 Section 8.5.4 |
| Pov | ver Frequency Voltage vs. Time | Per IEC 60099-4 Section 6.10, Annex D |
| Cha | racteristics of an Arrester Short Circuit Tests | Per IEC 60099-4 Section 6.11 |
| Inte | rnal Partial Discharge Tests | Per IEC 60099-4 Section 8.8 |
| Mo | isture Ingress Test | |
| a) | Terminal Torque Pre-conditioning | Per IEC 60099-4 Section 10.8.13.2.1 |
| b) | Thermo Mechanical Pre-conditioning | Per IEC 60099-4 Section 10.8.13.2.2 |
| c) | Water Immersion Test | Per IEC 60099-4 Section 10.8.13.3 |
| d) | Verification Tests | Per IEC 60099-4 Section 10.8.13.4 |
| We | ather Aging Tests | |
| a) | Test Series A: 1000 hr | Per IEC 60099-4 Section 10.8.14.2.1 |
| b) | Test Series B: 5000 hr | Per IEC 60099-4 Section 10.8.14.2.2 |
| Mee | chanical Tests | |
| a) | Test of the Bending Moment | Per IEC 60099-4 Section 8.9 |
| b) | Sulphur Dioxide Test | Per IEC 60099-4 Section 8.10.3.2 |
| c) | Salt Mist Test | Per IEC 60099-4 Section 8.10.3.3 |
| Dis | connector Operation | Per IEC 60099-4 Section 8.6.3 |
| | Insu a) b) Ress a) b) c) Lor Hig Pov Cha Inte Mo a) b) c) d) We a) b) C) d) We a) b) C) Dis | Insulation Withstand Test a) Lightning Impulse Voltage Test b) Power Frequency Voltage Test Residual Voltage Test a) Steep Current Impulse Residual Voltage Test b) Lightning Impulse Residual Voltage Test c) Switching Impulse Residual Voltage Test Long Duration Current Impulse Withstand Test High Current Impulse Operating Duty Test Power Frequency Voltage vs. Time Power Frequency Voltage vs. Time |

RESULTS

The UltraSIL VariSTAR arresters met all performance requirements of IEC 60099-4:2004.

TEST A: INSULATION WITHSTAND

| Test Report Number: Certifying Laboratory: | | D-E232A/2005 APEM |
|---|---|---|
| Object: | To demonstrate the voltage withstand c housing. | capability of the external insulation of the arrester |
| Lightning Impulse Voltage Test Procedure: The sample was clean and dry. The sample was subject to fifteen positive and negative X 50 µs impulses. | | |
| Results: | The sample passed with less than two c values. No internal discharges occurred | discharges at the positive and negative voltage d. |
| Power Frequency Voltage Test Procedure: The sample was clean and tested under wet conditions. The sample was subject frequency voltage of 1.06 times the switching impulse protection level for a per seconds. | | wet conditions. The sample was subject to a power itching impulse protection level for a period of sixty |
| Results: | The sample passed with no discharges | during the sixty-second period. |
| | TEST B: RESIDUAL VOLTAC | GE TESTS |
| Test Report Num Certifying Labor | nber: A6 ratory: CE | 5/021601 ESI |
| Object: | To determine the maximum discharge | voltage for each discharge current. |
| Lightning Impulse Residual Voltage TestProcedure:A lightning current impulse was applied to each sample for approximately 0.5, 1, and 2 times the nominal discharge current. The current impulse had a front time between 7µs to 9µs and the virtual time to half-value on the tail was between 18µs to 22µs. | | |
| Switching Impulse Residual Voltage TestProcedure:One switching current impulse was applied to each sample at 125 A and 500 Aimpulse had a front time greater than 30µs and less than 100µs and a virtual timevalue of approximately twice the virtual front time. | | plied to each sample at 125 A and 500 A. The current 0μ s and less than 100 μ s and a virtual time to half- al front time. |

Steep Current Impulse Residual Voltage Test

Procedure: One steep current impulse was applied to each sample with a peak value equal to the nominal discharge current of the arrester. The current impulse had a front time between 0.9µs to 1.1µs and the virtual time to half-value on the tail was not longer than 20µs.

| Results: | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| UltraSil HD Star | | 1/2 Wave | | 8/20 Wave Forms 30/60 Switching Surg | | | | | ching Surge | |
| Rating | MCOV | (kV, peak) 10 kA | (kV, peak) 1.5 kA | (kV, peak) 3 kA | (kV, peak) 5 kA | (kV, peak) 10 kA | (kV, peak) 20 kA | (kV, peak) 40 kA | (kV, peak) 125 A | (kV, peak) 500 A |
| 3 | 2.55 | 10.8 | 8.2 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 9.9 | 10.9 | 12.3 | 7.1 | 7.6 |
| 6 | 5.1 | 21.5 | 16.3 | 17.4 | 18.2 | 19.8 | 21.9 | 24.7 | 14.1 | 15.1 |
| 9 | 7.65 | 32.4 | 24.6 | 26.1 | 27.3 | 29.8 | 33.0 | 37.1 | 21.3 | 22.7 |
| 10 | 8.4 | 34.4 | 26.0 | 27.7 | 29.0 | 31.6 | 34.9 | 39.4 | 22.6 | 24.1 |
| 12 | 10.2 | 43.2 | 32.7 | 34.8 | 36.4 | 39.7 | 43.9 | 49.5 | 28.3 | 30.3 |
| 15 | 12.7 | 52.2 | 39.6 | 42.1 | 44.0 | 48.0 | 53.1 | 59.8 | 34.3 | 36.6 |
| 18 | 15.3 | 64.8 | 49.1 | 52.3 | 54.7 | 59.6 | 65.9 | 74.2 | 42.6 | 45.5 |
| 21 | 17 | 68.8 | 52.1 | 55.4 | 58.0 | 63.2 | 69.9 | 78.7 | 45.1 | 48.2 |
| 24 | 19.5 | 79.5 | 60.2 | 64.1 | 67.0 | 73.1 | 80.8 | 91.1 | 52.2 | 55.8 |
| 27 | 22 | 92.4 | 70.0 | 74.5 | 77.9 | 84.9 | 93.9 | 106 | 60.6 | 64.8 |
| 30 | 24.4 | 100.5 | 76.1 | 81.0 | 84.7 | 92.4 | 102 | 115 | 66.0 | 70.5 |
| 33 | 27 | 114.2 | 86.5 | 92.1 | 96.3 | 105 | 116 | 131 | 75.0 | 80.1 |
| 36 | 29 | 120.8 | 91.5 | 97.3 | 102 | 111 | 123 | 138 | 79.3 | 84.7 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

TEST C: LONG DURATION CURRENT IMPULSE WITHSTAND TEST

| Test Report Num Certifying Labora | ber: atory: | A6/021602 CESI |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| Object: | To demonstrate the ability of the ar withstand test requirements. | rester to meet the long duration current impulse |
| Procedure: | The sample was subject to eighteen with approximately 60 seconds betw temperature between impulses. | impulses, divided into six groups of three operations, ween impulses. The samples cooled to ambient |
| Results: | The samples met test requirements physical damage. | with less than 5% change in residual voltage and no |

TEST D: HIGH CURRENT IMPULSE OPERATING DUTY TEST

| Test Report Nun Certifying Labor | nber: ratory: | A6/021603 CESI |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Object: | To demonstrate the ability of the artest requirements. | rester to meet the high current impulse operating duty |
| Procedure: | The sample was subject to twenty 8 the nominal discharge current of th divided into four groups of five im- between groups. The sample was to Within 100ms of the second imputs for 30 minutes. | 3/20 lighting current impulses with a peak value equal to e arrester with the sample at U _C '. The impulses were pulses, with 50-60 s between impulses and 30 minutes hen subject to two 100kA \pm 10kA high current impulses. se, the sample shall be energized at U _R ' for 10 s and U _C ' |
| Results: | The samples met test requirements thermal stability, and no physical d | with less than 5% change in residual voltage, achieving amage. |

TEST E: POWER FREQUENCY VOLTAGE VS. TIME

| Test Report Num Certifying Labora | ber: atory: | A6/021605 CESI |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Object: | To determine the over voltage value | es and time durations for the arrester design. |
| Procedure: | The sample was subject to one high over voltage at each specified time sample for thirty minutes. | n current impulse. The sample was then subject to each range. The recovery voltage of $U_{\rm C}$ ' was applied to the |

UltraSIL Class 1 VariSTAR Arrester

Results:



TEST F: CHARACTERISTICS OF AN ARRESTER: SHORT CIRCUIT TEST

| Test Report Num Certifying Labor | ber: S1-03165A atory: KEMA |
|--|--|
| Object: | To verify arrester internal fault is not likely to create an explosive event |
| Object. | To verify affester internal fault is not interly to create an explosive event. |
| Procedure: | Samples were thermally pre-killed using an over voltage applied for 5 ± 3 minutes. Two 21kV arresters were then tested at full voltage and four 60kV arresters were tested at a reduced voltage. |
| Results: | The 21kV arresters tested at full voltage met high current test requirements of 20kA. The 60kV arresters tested at reduced voltage met high current test requirements of 20kA. The 60kV arresters tested at reduced voltage met low current test requirements of 600A. |
| | TEST G: INTERNAL PARTIAL DISCHARGE TESTS |
| Test Report Num | ber: A4-003926 |
| Certifying Labora | atory: CESI |
| Object: | To verify the arrester design does not generate excessive partial discharge. |
| Procedure: The sample was energized to the rated voltage for 2 seconds. The voltage was then to $1.05 * U_C$ and the partial discharge level was recorded. | |
| Results: | The samples met test requirements with partial discharge ≤ 10 pC. |

TEST H: MOISTURE INGRESS TEST

| Test Report Nun | iber: | A4-003914 |
|------------------|--|---|
| Certifying Labor | atory: | CESI |
| Object: | To verify the arrester design will no and moisture conditions. | ot decrease in performance under thermo-mechanical |
| Procedure: | The samples were subjected to a se internal partial discharge, residual y preconditioning. The samples were of NaCl for a period of 42 hours. T cooled to 50 °C. After the samples changes in power loss, internal part | ries of preconditioning tests including power loss, voltage, terminal torque, and thermo-mechanical e then immersed in boiling de-ionized water with 1kg/m ³ 'he samples remained in the water until the temperature reached ambient temperature they were tested for ial discharge and residual voltage. |
| Results: | All samples showed no signs of phy power loss, the internal partial disc deviation in residual voltage with n oscillograms. | ysical damage, demonstrated less than a 20% change in harge did not exceed 10pC, and there was a less than 5% o signs of breakdown seen in the voltage and current |
| | | |

TEST I: WEATHER AGING TESTS

TEST SERIES A: 1000 HR

| Test Report N Certifying Lab | umber: poratory: | T04-1374 STRI |
|---------------------------------|--|---|
| Object: | To verify the ability of the arreste surface arcing and heating. | r to withstand continuous salt fog conditions and endure |
| Procedure: | The samples were placed in an energized at U_C for a period of 10 consisting of partial discharge and | closure filled with a salt fog mist. The samples were 00 hours. The samples underwent pre and post testing 1 reference voltage. |
| Results: | All samples met the test requirem internal breakdowns, no surface to arrester reference voltage did not did not exceed 10 pC. | ents of: no housing punctures or housing erosion, no racking was evidenced by physical examination, the decrease by more than 5%, and the partial discharge level |

TEST SERIES B: 5000 HR

| Test Report Number: Certifying Laboratory: | | 04-1329 FRI |
|---|---|--|
| Object: | To verify the ability of the arrester to surface arcing and heating. | withstand continuous salt fog conditions and endure |
| Procedure: | One 60kV surge arrester was placed u manner while energized at U_C for a per radiation simulation, artificial rain, dry temperature, and salt fog at low concerconsisting of partial discharge and reference. | nder various environmental stresses in a cyclic eriod of 5000 hours. These stresses included solar y heat, damp heat, high dampness at room entration. The sample underwent pre and post testing erence voltage. |
| Results: | All samples met the test requirements internal breakdowns, no surface tracki current trip out, the arrester reference partial discharge level did not exceed | of: no housing punctures or housing erosion, no ing was evidenced by physical examination, no over voltage did not decrease by more than 5%, and the 5 pC. |

TEST J: MECHANICAL TESTS

TEST OF THE BENDING MOMENT:

| Test Report Number: Certifying Laboratory: | | A4-003923 CESI |
|---|---|---|
| Object: | To verify the arrester could withstar | nd cantilever and environmental stresses. |
| Procedure: | The maximum permissible static load period of $60 - 90$ seconds. The same water with 1 kg/m ³ of NaCl for 42 h the vessel until the water cooled to a consisting of power loss, partial disc | ad (180 Nm) was applied to the upright arrester for a ple was then immersed in a vessel, boiled in de-ionized ours. At the end of the boiling, the sample remained in 50 °C. The sample underwent pre and post testing charge and residual voltage. |
| Results: | The sample showed no physical dar of the initial measurement, the parti residual voltage at the same dischar | nage, the power loss did not increase by more than 20 % al discharge did not exceed 10 pC at 1.05*Uc, and the ge current did not deviate by more than 5%. |

SULPHUR DIOXIDE AND SALT MIST TESTS:

| Test Report Nun | mber: 13-7351-20-04-3A-rev2 | |
|--|--|-------|
| Certifying Labor | oratory: Thomas A. Edison Technical Center | |
| Object: | To verify the arrester could withstand cantilever and environmental stresses. | |
| Procedure: | The arrester was placed in an airtight box with sulphur dioxide gas for a period of 21 day. The sample was then placed in a chamber with a salt mist atmosphere for a period of 96 hours. The sample underwent pre and post testing of partial discharge. | |
| Results: | The sample showed no physical damage and the partial discharge did not exceed 10 1.05*Uc. | pC at |
| | TEST K: | |
| | DISCONNECTOR OPERATION | |
| Test Report Num | mber: A4-000660 | |
| Certifying Labor | oratory: CESI | |
| Object: | To verify the disconnector was effective and permanently disconnected from arreste | er. |
| Procedure: Five disconnectors were tested without the surge arrester at 20A, 200A, and 800 A applied voltage was approximately 2700 V. | | The |
| Results: | In each test, the samples disconnected and the separation was permanent. | |

Disconnect Curve

